SANJIV

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2094 OF 2006**

Mr. Manu Bhavani ....Petitioners

**Vs.**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

Mr A. M. Saraogi for petitioners.

Mr. Subhash Zha for respondent 2.

Ms. M. H. Mhatre, APP for the State.

**CORAM : SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.**

**DATE : 16TH NOVEMBER, 2006.**

**P. C.:-**

1. In my opinion, it is not necessary to interfere with the impugned order as criminal revision application filed by the petitioner in the Sessions Court is still pending. It will be open to the petitioner to raise all points before the Sessions Court more particularly, contentions based the judgment of this Court in ***Jagannath Singh Vs.***

*Ajay Upadhyay* in ***Criminal Writ Petition No. 1254/2006 delivered on 14th July, 2006.*** and the judgment of the Madras High Court in ***D. K. Raju Vs. K. V. Desinga Raju and the State, 1972 Company Cases, vol. 42, 143*** and also the provisions of the Companies Act.

2. It is made clear that all contentions of respondent 2 are also left open including that the revision is not maintainable.

**(SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J)**